

A1

Notice of Allowability	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/681,525	LEE, WEN-TSAO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Nguyen T Ha	2831

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address--

All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this application. If not included herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) or other appropriate communication will be mailed in due course. **THIS NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RIGHTS.** This application is subject to withdrawal from issue at the initia of the Office or upon petition by the applicant. See 37 CFR 1.313 and MPEP 1308.

1. This communication is responsive to 8/31/2003.
 2. The allowed claim(s) is/are 1-11.
 3. The drawings filed on 23 April 2001 are accepted by the Examiner.
 4. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All b) Some* c) None of the:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * Certified copies not received: _____.
5. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
 - (a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
 6. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" of this communication to file a reply complying with the requirements noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONMENT of this application. **THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE**

7. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be submitted. Note the attached EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which gives reason(s) why the oath or declaration is deficient.
8. CORRECTED DRAWINGS must be submitted.
 - (a) including changes required by the Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) attached
 - 1) hereto or 2) to Paper No. _____.
 - (b) including changes required by the proposed drawing correction filed _____, which has been approved by the Examiner.
 - (c) including changes required by the attached Examiner's Amendment / Comment or in the Office action of Paper No. _____.

Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1.84(c)) should be written on the drawings in the top margin (not the back) of each sheet. The drawings should be filed as a separate paper with a transmittal letter addressed to the Official Draftsperson.

9. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the deposit of BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL must be submitted. Note the attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT FOR THE DEPOSIT OF BIOLOGICAL MATERIAL.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1 <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 2 <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3 <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4 <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413), Paper No. _____. |
| 5 <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449), Paper No. _____. | 6 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Amendment/Comment |
| 7 <input type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material | 8 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance |
| | 9 <input type="checkbox"/> Other |

DETAILED ACTION

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

2. The application has been amended as follows:

Claim 1, line 2, "an" (both occurrences) should changed to - - a - -.

Claim 2, line 2, "an" (both occurrences) should changed to - - a - -.

Claim 2, line 3, "an" should changed to - - a - -.

Claim 8, line 2, "an" (both occurrences) should changed to - - a - -.

Claim 8, line 3, "an" should changed to - - a - -.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 1-11 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

With respect to claims 1, 3/1 and 4, the prior art alone or in combination does not teach the limitations of a method of manufacturing a multi-tube fluorescent discharge lamp comprising the steps of: forming an isolator nearby the middle of a first glass tube, perforating through-hole nearby the both ends of the first glass tube, installing two cathode portions, each includes a pair of electrodes, a pipe, a stem and a hole, at respective the both ends of the first glass tube, and fusing the two ends of the first glass tube with the cathode portions.

With respect to claims 2, 3/2 and 5-7, the prior art alone or in combination does not teach the limitations of a method of manufacturing a multi-tube fluorescent discharge lamp comprising the steps of: forming an isolator nearby the middle of a first glass tube, perforating through-hole nearby the both ends of the first glass tube, perforating through-hole nearby the both ends of a second glass tube, installing two cathode portions, each includes a pair of electrodes, a pipe, a stem and a hole, at respective the both ends of the first glass tube, and fusing the two ends of the first glass tube with the cathode portions.

With respect to claims 8-11, the prior art alone or in combination does not teach the limitations of a multi-tube fluorescent discharge lamp comprising the steps of: installing two cathode portions, each includes a pair of electrodes, a pipe, a stem and a hole, at respective the both ends of a first glass tube, forming a isolator nearby the middle of the first glass tube, perforating through-hole nearby the both ends of the first glass tube, and installing the two first glass tubes into respective a two chambers of a second tube coaxial.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nguyen T Ha whose telephone number is 703-308-

6023. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30AM to 6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dean Reichard can be reached on 703-308-3682. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-3432 for regular communications and 703-305-3431 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

NH
December 15, 2003

Dean A. Reichard 12/15/03
DEAN A. REICHARD
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800